

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

SEP 25 2006 TENT APPLICATION
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10012626-1

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Robert Sesek et al.

Confirmation No.: 3065

Application No.: 10/006,693

Examiner: S. McAllister

Filing Date: 12/10/2001

Group Art Unit: 3627

Title: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

Mail Stop
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- () Response/Amendment () Petition to extend time to respond
() New fee as calculated below () Supplemental Declaration
() No additional fee
(X) Other: Corrected Appeal Brief (fee \$ _____)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00	3RD MONTH \$1020.00	4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Sesek et al.

By

James D. Shaurette

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 9/25/06

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted
to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile
number 571-273-8300 on 9/25/2006
Number of pages: 33

Typed Name: Natalie King

Signature:

Telephone No.: (509) 624-4276

SEP 25 2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10012626-1

IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Robert Sese et al.

Confirmation No.: 3065

Application No.: 10/006,693

Examiner: S. McAllister

Filing Date: 12/10/2001

Group Art Unit: 3627

Title: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

Mail Stop
Commissioner For Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- () Response/Amendment () Petition to extend time to respond
() New fee as calculated below () Supplemental Declaration
() No additional fee
(X) Other: Corrected Appeal Brief (fee \$)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00	3RD MONTH \$1020.00	4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Sese et al.

By

James D. Shaurette

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 9/25/06

Telephone No.: (509) 624-4276

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted
to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile
number (671) 273-8300 on 9/25/2006
Number of pages: 33

Typed Name: Natalie King

Signature: [Signature]

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 25 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 10/006,693
Filing Date.....December 10, 2001
Inventor..... Robert Sese et al.
Assignee..... Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P.
Group Art Unit 3627
Examiner..... Steven McAllister
Attorney's Docket No. PDNO. 10012626-1
Confirmation No..... 3065
Title: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

BRIEF OF APPELLANT

To: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: James D. Shaurette (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)
Wells, St. John, P.S.
601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3817

Appellant appeals from the Office Action mailed January 13, 2006 (hereinafter "Office Action") which rejects claims 62-73 and 75-88 over the prior art. The Commissioner is authorized to charge the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2) to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

PDNO. 10012626-1
Serial No. : 10/006,693
Brief of Appellant

-i-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	<u>REAL PROPERTY IN INTEREST</u>	1
II.	<u>RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES</u>	1
III.	<u>STATUS OF CLAIMS</u>	1
IV.	<u>STATUS OF AMENDMENTS</u>	1
V.	<u>SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER</u>	1
VI.	<u>GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL</u>	2
VII.	<u>ARGUMENT</u>	3
A.	Claims 62-88 comply with the written description requirement.....	3
B.	There is insufficient motivation to combine the teachings of Paton with the teachings of Thieret and the 103 rejection of claims 62, 66-71, 73, and 75-88 is improper for at least this reason.....	7
C.	There is Insufficient motivation to combine the teachings of Paton with the teachings of LoBiondo and the 103 rejection of claims 62-65, 68 and 72 is improper for at least this reason.....	10
D.	Positively recited limitations of claim 67 are not disclosed by the prior art references Thieret and Paton and the 103 rejection is improper for at least this reason.....	13
E.	Positively recited limitations of claims 69-70 are not disclosed by the prior art references Thieret and Paton and the 103 rejection is improper for at least this reason.....	17
F.	Positively recited limitations of claim 72 are not disclosed by the prior art references LoBiondo and Paton and the 103 rejection is improper for at least this reason.....	18

PDNO. 10012626-1
Serial No. : 10/006,693
Brief of Appellant

-ii-

G. There is insufficient motivation to combine the teachings of Hopper with the teachings of Thieret and Paton and the 103 rejection of claims 73, 75, 76, 83 and 86-88 is improper for at least this reason19

H. Positively recited limitations of claims 73, 75, 76, 83 and 86-88 are not disclosed by the prior art references Thieret, Paton, and Hopper and the 103 rejection is improper for at least this reason.....20

I. Positively recited limitations of claims 76 and 85 are not disclosed by the prior art references Thieret and Paton and the 103 rejection is improper for at least this reason.....21

J. Conclusion.....22

VIII.	<u>CLAIMS APPENDIX</u>	A-1
IX.	<u>EVIDENCE APPENDIX</u>	B-1
X.	<u>RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX</u>	C-1

PDNO. 10012626-1
Serial No. : 10/006,693
Brief of Appellant